

LOS ANGELES DAILY TIMES.

Temple, Main and New High Streets.
(Principal wire no. 6 Temple st.)

COUNTRY OFFICIAL PAPER.

Terms of Subscription:

By MAIL, POST PAID.

One copy, one year.....\$75.00

One copy, three months.....\$4.00

One copy, three months.....\$2.00

PAYABLE IN ADVANCE.

Delivered by carrier at 30 cents per week, or
55 cents per month.WEEKLY EDITION (THE MIRROR).
One copy, one year.....\$2.00

One copy, six months.....\$1.00

INVARIABLY IN ADVANCE.

THE TIMES is sold on all railroad trains
going out of Los Angeles, and
is also sold, without writing to have the ad-
dress of the paper changed, should also
state the former address.

CORRESPONDENCE solicited from all quarters.

Timely local news and news given
from the various sides of the sheet
will be printed, and real name for
the private information of the Editor.

ADVERTISING RATES at the Business Office.

Communications designed for publication
should be addressed to the Editor; letters on
business to the Times.THE TIMES-MIRROR COMPANY,
PROPRIETORS AND PUBLISHERS,
No. 6 Temple Street, Los Angeles Cal.

The Times,

ENLARGED SHEET.

TUESDAY, JANUARY 20, 1885.

Mrs. BELVA ANN LOCKWOOD, candi-
date of the Equal Rights' party for
President in the late campaign, has
sent a petition to the Senate through
Senator Hoar, in which she asks that
the votes cast for her in New York,
New Hampshire, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan,
Illinois, Maryland and California
be counted, and that the electoral vote
of Indians be given to her. She charges
the votes for her were "fraudulent and
illegally counted for the alleged majority
candidate, the same being antagonistic
to the letter and spirit of the law and
subversive of every principle of equity
and justice." She also asks that the
Senate refuse to receive the electoral
return of the State of New York or
count them for the alleged majority
candidate, "for had the 1,336 votes
polled in said State for your petitioner
been counted for her, and not for one
Grover Cleveland, he would not have
been awarded a majority of all the
votes cast at said election in said
State."The reason for asking that the elec-
toral vote of Indiana be cast for her, she
says, is that after the Electoral College
of that State had cast its vote for the
aforesaid Grover Cleveland, it changed
its mind, as had a legal right, and
did cast its united ballot for your peti-
tioner.We thought Belva would make trouble
yet, and here it is, right upon us—or
rather upon "one Grover Cleveland." With
Belva Ann Lockwood and Maria
Halpin both after him, "the aforesaid
Cleveland" may find it expedient to re-
sort to the underhand.A WASHINGTON Sunday newspaper,
the Herald, which sets itself up to be
the "organ" of the army and navy, in
opposing the man to speak in General
Grant on the retired list, speaks in the
following highly absurd tone:"If the country owes him any aid at all,
it should be given him as a reward or pension,
but not in the way he asks for it. His
name on the retired list would be a perpetual menace to the
peace and liberty of the country, and
make him the center of all manner of
ambitious schemes."In the first place, the Wash-
ington Sunday Herald is no re-
presentative of the sentiment of the
army and navy; and in the second place,
the talk about General Grant being "a
perpetual menace to the peace and liberty of the country," whatever may
be the position he holds, is the summit
of nonsense; it is folly of a sort that
ought to be enough to send the man
who utters it to a place of confinement
for irredeemable idiots. The question
whether General Grant is a sage or up-
set man for the country was long since
settled, and it is too late in the day for
a repetition of the nonsense which
hailed political partisans uttered
against him in former Presidential
campaigns.The Minneapolis Tribune has ar-
ranged the procession inauguration day
as follows:Thomas A. Hendricks
Squad of old Copperheads.Carriages and horses—Prof. R. R. Burdett
and John P. St. John.

Band.

Gari Schubert, etc.

George Williams, etc., reclining in a gori-

gous decorated carriage.

Band.

State Shotgun Gun Club, Mississippi, 10.

Orchestra.

Maria Halpin's Glee Club.

Waterson's cross-goddes of Reform.

Chairman Barram in a golden chariot

drawn by seven mules.

Conrad's brass band.

Mugwumps on horseback.

Mugwumps on foot.

Band.

Henry Ward Beecher holding two magni-

ficent white stallions.

Stephen Grover Cleveland on foot.

Forty-nine thousand good Democrats with
possessed petitions.

About now two or three thousand

newspapers, from Maine to Georgia,

are making jokes about the length of

William M. Evarts' sentences. The

New York Sun polished his off in

poetry, as follows:

Oh, Evarts, renowned! oh, celebrated

prayer—oh, prophet of a word hatching that

could populate the universe with

the thoughts of all men!

The tide has turned. "The mosturk

is the mosturk of man!"—always.

Fair and square are the now pro-

pects of the Exposition.

The era of good feeling inaugurated at last Thursday's mass meeting was

brought about in part, as I verily believe,

by their rolicking intermixtures of "Die-
bold," "Fitz," "Harrington," "Dixie," &c.

In every art Mexico seems to come

fully equipped. His military band

military music that will rouse above

the spirits of the most ardent enthusiast.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their rolicking

intermixtures of "Diebold," "Fitz," "Harrington," &c.

The era of good feeling was brought about

in part, as I verily believe, by their ro

LOS ANGELES DAILY TIMES.

PUBLISHED
EVERY DAY EXCEPT MONDAY.
THE TIMES-HERALD COMPANY,
H. G. COOK, H. R. BOYER.

Printing and Binding.
There nothing in the Printing and Binding which the Times-Minor Printing, Binding and Publishing House, the largest and best equipped in California, can not do to make it a machine equal to that of any office in the world. We have a large staff of men in Turkish leather, Morocco, cloth, leather, or in any style desired. Our prices are to be equal to those paid down in San Francisco.

TERMS.
For Rates and Terms of Subscription See Second Page.

THE COURTS.

MONDAY, JAN. 19.

SUPERIOR COURT—Judge Brunson.
John F. Jones vs. Fred C. Furling: Case being shown to have been transferred to the United States Circuit Court, is stricken from calendar of this court.

Los Angeles City vs. Jones: Motion for jury and separate trials denied. Plaintiff vs. Defendant. Proceedings stayed pending motion for new trial.

Cardsell vs. Slauson: Argument on demurrer to be decided.

Hankins vs. Wells: Passed until Friday 26.

Ho vs. Laughlin: Passed to Jan-

uary 26. Plaintiff vs. Burton: Passed to Jan-

uary 26. Plaintiff vs. Sorbera: Demurser

Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. Laughlin: Passed to January 26.

Ho vs. Slauson: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. Slauson: Decree ordered

on ground of desecration.

Wing Ho vs. E. J. Baldwin: De-

murser argued and submitted.

Fox vs. Palmer: Demurser

stated. Plaintiff vs. Palmer: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. Palmer: Ten days to answer after receipt of petition.

Lucia vs. Aguirre: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. Aguirre: Ten days to answer.

Giles vs. Saxon: Passed to January 26.

Bedome vs. Briwarter et al.: De-

fendant's time to file statement, etc., ex-

tended to February 20th. Execution

stayed pending motion for new trial.

McCrory vs. Bradley: Demurser

argued and submitted.

Osborne vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

A. Patterson vs. L. Messmer: Or-

dered taken up January 29.

Carlson vs. Carlton: Demurser

stated by consent.

O. S. S. Co. vs. James: Demurser

overruled. Plaintiff vs. James: Ten days to answer after receipt of petition.

James vs. Taylor vs. Baker: Passed to Jan-

uary 26.

Ho vs. Crumley: Plaintiff vs. Crumley: De-

manded and submitted.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCreary: Demurser over-

ruled. Plaintiff vs. McCreary: Ten days to answer.

Ho vs. McCre

